



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,938	12/30/2005	Hannu Makela	47121-5017	8750
55694	7590	05/14/2008		
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH (DC) 1500 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-1209			EXAMINER	
			PECHE, JORGE O	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3664	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/562,938	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MAKELA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> JORGE O. PECHE	<b>Art Unit</b> 3664

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(o).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 April 2008.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.      2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1668)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  
 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of applicant's argument/remarks filed on April 4, 2008, **claims 1-10** are pending and an action on the merits is as follows.

Applicant's arguments with respect to amended **claims 1-10** have been fully considered but are moot in view of a new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant has amended **claims 1 and 8**.

***Foreign Priority***

2. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers (FINLAND 20031007 and 20040059) to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

The only times during ex parte prosecution that the examiner considers the merits of an applicant's claim of priority is when a reference is found with an effective date between the date of the foreign filing and the date of filing in the United States and when an interference situation is under consideration. If at the time of making an action the examiner has found such an intervening reference, he or she simply rejects whatever claims may be considered unpatentable thereover, without paying any attention to the priority date (assuming the papers have not yet been filed). The applicant in his or her reply may argue the rejection if it is of such a nature that it can be argued, or present the foreign papers for the purpose of overcoming the date of the reference. If the applicant argues the reference, the examiner, in the next action in the application, may specifically require the foreign papers to be filed in addition to repeating the rejection if it is still considered applicable, or he or she may merely continue the rejection. Form paragraph 2.19 may be used in this instance.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3664

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Hakkinen (Pub No.: WO 01/69041 A1)** in view of **Lehtinen et al. ("Mobile robots evolving in industrial applications"**, Proceedings of the 31st International Symposium on Robotics, Montreal, pp.96-101).

Regarding claim 1, Hakkinen discloses a method for determining the position of unmanned mining vehicle comprising the step of:

- Driving mining vehicles (3, 4, and 5) on a service gallery (2) and a plurality of mine galleries (1) (first and second work areas) where the service gallery (2) is only provided with an identifier (16b) painted on the wall (accurately known location)(see page 4, lines 2-10; page 7, lines 14-24; Figure 1).
- Identifying mining mark (6) and location information (6") to determine the position of the loading vehicle (see page7, lines 2-5, lines 22-24; Figures 5-7).
- Transmitting location information (6") of the loading vehicle (5) to a computer room (mine control system) by implementing a data communication unit (13) (control unit). Under this process, the transmitted data is used to monitor the operation of the loading vehicle (5) (see page 2, lines 15-23; page 6, lines 1-9, lines 33-34; page 7, lines 1-5; Figures 4-5).

However, Hakkinen's invention fails to disclose a method for determining the location of the mining vehicle substantially continuously in the first work and the second work area on the basis of a dead reckoning, wherein the distance traveled is calculated

and the travel direction is determined, determining, when operating in the second work area, the location of the mining vehicle only on the basis of the dead reckoning; and updating the location data determined in the dead reckoning on the basis of the location data of the identifier when driving in the first work area.

However, Lehtinen teaches a dead reckoning unit to determine the position, distance and direction of a vehicle in a mine/underground environment. Furthermore, Lehtinen teaches the use of beacon, transponder and landmark (e.g. location information (6") disclosed on Hakkinen's invention) to adjust the exact absolute pose of a mining vehicle after traveling a certain distance (see page 96, abstract, page 98, left column, par 1-3).

Given the teaching of Lehtinen, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to replace the inertial measurement device (11) of Hakkinen's invention, which can be used as a dead reckoning unit (see page 2, lines 15-23, page 5, lines 28-35; Figure 4), by the dead reckoning device disclosed on Lehtinen's reference to continuously determine and update the position, distance and direction of a mining vehicle in the first and second work areas. Furthermore, given the method for determine the position, distance and direction of a vehicle in a mine/underground environment by using a dead reckoning (e.g. in a second work area) and adjusting the exact absolute pose of a mining vehicle after traveling a certain distance by implementing landmark (e.g. in a first work area), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement this technique in a plurality of mining areas to determine the location and update the position of a mining vehicle.

Doing so would enhance an autonomous vehicle capable to travel across an environment with small amount of advance information.

Regarding **claims 2-5**, Hakkinen discloses a method for determining the position of unmanned mining vehicle comprising the step of:

- Placing a location information mark (6") (readable identifier) in a mine gallery (1) (first work area) (see page 4, lines 2-10; page 7, lines 1-5; Figures 1 and 5).
- Arranging positioning marks (6' and 6") in advance to the loading vehicle control unit (19) (see page 6, lines 10-36; page 7, lines 1-13; Figure 5).
- Reading position mark (6') and location information (6") (identifier) placed in the mine gallery (1) with a reader device (12) to determine the location of the loading vehicle (5) (see page 4, lines 2-10; page 6, lines 33-36; page 7, lines 1-13; Figures 1-5).

However, Hakkinen's invention fails to disclose a method for updating the location data obtained on the basis of the dead read reckoning; arranging at least one identifier comprising a receiver in the first work area, and transmitting a signal for reading the identifier from a transmitter in the mining vehicle when driving in the first work area

However, Lehtinen teaches a dead reckoning unit to determine the position, distance and direction of a vehicle in a mine or underground environment. Furthermore, Lehtinen teaches the use of landmark (e.g. location information (6") disclosed on Hakkinen's invention) to adjust or update the exact absolute pose of a mining vehicle after traveling a certain distance (see page 96, abstract, page 98, left column, par 1-3).

Furthermore, Lehtinen teaches the use of a visual beacon unit at known location to improve mining/underground vehicle position, which can replace or be added to the location information mark (6") (see page 96, right column, par 7; page 98, left column, par. 1-3). As the visual beacon is capable to transmitted location/position signal and the mining vehicle, with a dead reckoning and control unit (19), can transmit a request signal for location/position (see Figure 5), it would be obvious that a mining/underground vehicle and the beacon unit can communicate between each other to determine the mining vehicle location.

Doing so would enhance an autonomous vehicle capable to travel across an environment with small amount of advance information and obtain real time vehicle position by implementing a plurality beacon of unit.

Regarding **claim 6**, Hakkinen discloses a wireless communication system to transmit position, location, and operation of the loading vehicle to a control room (see page 4, lines 1-15; page 7, lines 1-5; Figures 1 and 4). Under this process, it would be obvious that the wireless communication system would have al least one base station and or repeater on the mine gallery (1) and a service gallery (2) to forward the loading vehicle information to the control room (see prior art made of record at conclusion).

However, Hakkinen's invention fails to disclose a method for updating the location data obtained on the basis of the dead reckoning.

However, Lehtinen teaches a dead reckoning to determine the position, distance and direction of a vehicle in a mine or underground environment. Furthermore, Lehtinen teaches the use of beacon and landmark (e.g. location information (6") disclosed on

Hakkinen's invention) to adjust or update the exact absolute pose of a mining vehicle after traveling a certain distance (see page 96, abstract, page 98, left column, par 1-3).

Doing so would enhance an autonomous vehicle capable to travel across an environment with small amount of advance information and obtain real time vehicle position by implementing a plurality beacon of unit.

Regarding **claim 7**, Hakkinen discloses a method for determining the position of unmanned mining vehicle comprising the step of:

- Driving mining vehicles (3, 4, and 5) on a plurality of mine galleries (1) and a service gallery (2) (see page 4, lines 2-10; Figure 1). It would be obvious that a loading vehicle (5) would drive from a service gallery (2) (unloading area) to a mine gallery (1) (loading area).
- Loading cargo into the loading vehicle (5) in the mine gallery (1) (see Figure 5). Under this process, it would be obvious that the loading vehicle (5) would drive its cargo from the mine gallery to the service gallery to unload its cargo.

However, Hakkinen's invention fails to disclose a method for determining the location of the mining vehicle in the loading area only on the basis of the dead reckoning, and determining the location of the mining vehicle in the unloading area both on the basis of the dead reckoning and by reading at least one identifier arranged in the unloading area.

However, Lehtinen teaches a dead reckoning to determine the position, distance and direction of a vehicle in a mine or underground environment. Furthermore, Lehtinen teaches the use of beacon and landmark (e.g. location information (6'') disclosed on

Hakkinen's invention) to adjust or update the exact absolute pose of a mining vehicle after traveling a certain distance (see page 96, abstract, page 98, left column, par 1-3).

Under this process, as the mining vehicle (4) excavates new section on the mine gallery (1), it would be obvious that location information mark (6") would not be available on this new area. Therefore, it would be obvious that the mining vehicle would only implement its dead reckoning device to navigate in the new area or section of the mine gallery (1). Furthermore, as the mining vehicle transport its cargo to the service gallery (unload area), the mining vehicle can relay on its dead reckoning unit and beacon device (identifier) installed across (critical location) the mining/underground area, which can include service gallery (unload area), to accurately adjust and/or update the exact absolute pose of a mining vehicle after traveling a certain distance.

Doing so would enhance an autonomous vehicle capable to travel across an environment with small amount of advance information and obtain real time vehicle position by implementing a plurality of beacon unit.

Regarding **claims 8-10**, Hakkinen discloses a system to determine the position of unmanned mining vehicle comprising:

- A plurality of mine galleries (1) and a service gallery (2) (first and second work areas) to drive mining vehicles (3, 4, and 5) where the service gallery (2) is only provided with an identifier (16b) painted on the wall (accurately known location)(see page 4, lines 2-10; page 7, lines 14-24; Figure 1).
- A computer room (mine control system) to wirelessly receive (data transfer connection) location information (6") of the loading vehicle (5) by implementing a

data communication unit (13) (control unit). Under this apparatus, the transmitted data is used to monitor the operation of the loading vehicle (5). To monitor the exact location of the loading vehicle by an operator or server, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to conclude that the position of the mine location information (6") (predetermined location of the identifier) is known to the computer room (see page 2, lines 15-23; page 4, lines 10-17; page 6, lines 1-9, lines 33-34; page 7, lines 1-5; Figures 4-5).

- Mining mark (6) and location information (6") (identifier) are placed in advance to the loading vehicle control unit (19) in a mine gallery (1). Under this system, the loading vehicle (5) implements a reader device (12) to read the location information (6") (identifier/ location data) to determine its position and location, where a service gallery (2) is only provided with an identifier (16b) painted on the wall (accurately known location) (see page 4, lines 2-10; page 6, lines 10-36; page 7, lines 2-24; Figures 1 and 5-7).

However, Hakkinen's invention fails to disclose a system for monitoring the location of a mining vehicle comprising at least one measuring device for determining the distance traveled by the mining vehicle, and further at least one measuring device for determining the direction of the mining vehicle; and the location of the mining vehicle is arranged to be determined substantially continuously in the first work area and the second work area on the basis of a dead reckoning by taking into account the distance traveled and the direction; the location of the mining vehicle is arranged to be

determined only on the basis of the dead reckoning, when operating in the second work area.

However, Lehtinen teaches a dead reckoning (measuring device) to determine the position, distance and direction of a vehicle in a mine/underground environment. Furthermore, Lehtinen teaches the use of beacon, transponder and landmark (e.g. location information (6'')) to adjust or update the exact absolute pose of a mining vehicle's dead reckoning after traveling a certain distance (see page 96, abstract, page 98, left column, par. 1-3). Furthermore, as the mining vehicle transport its cargo to the service gallery (unload area), the mining vehicle can relay on its dead reckoning unit and beacon device (identifier) installed across the mining/underground area (critical location), which can include service gallery (unload area), to accurately adjust and/or update the exact absolute pose of a mining vehicle after traveling a certain distance.

Given the teaching of Lehtinen, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to replace the inertial measurement device (11) of Hakkinen's invention, which can be used as a dead reckoning unit (see page 2, lines 15-23, page 5, lines 28-35; Figure 4), by a dead reckoning device to continuously determine and update the position, distance and direction of a mining vehicle in the first and second work areas. Furthermore, given the method for determine the position, distance and direction of a vehicle in a mine/underground environment by using a dead reckoning (e.g. in a second work area) and adjusting the exact absolute pose of a mining vehicle after traveling a certain distance by implementing landmark (e.g. in a first work area), it would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement this technique in a plurality of mining areas to determine the location and update the position of a mining vehicle.

Doing so would enhance an autonomous vehicle capable to travel across an environment with small amount of advance information.

***Response to Argument***

In the Applicant's arguments/remarks filed on April 4, 2008, with respect to the rejections of **claims 1-10** under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Hakkinen (Pub No.: WO 01/69041 A1)** in view of **Lehtinen et al.** ("Mobile robots evolving in industrial applications", Proceedings of the 31st International Symposium on Robotics, Montreal, pp.96-101) have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

Regarding Applicant's first argument (page 8, par. 4), "Independent Claims 1 and 8 are amended to define that, in the first work area, dead reckoning is used together with an identifier to provide accurate location data. In the second area, only dead reckoning is used to determine the location of the mining vehicle. None of the art of record disclose these patentable features." The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant is kindly invited to view the new grounds of rejection for more detail comment.

Regarding Applicant's second argument (page 9, par. 3), "Moreover, Lehtinen et al. fails to make up for the foregoing deficiencies of Hakkinen. Lehtinen et al. discloses a system that regularly updates exact absolute pose after traveling a certain distance."

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant is kindly invited to view the new grounds of rejection for more detail comment.

***Conclusion***

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Base Station and/or repeater: **Bassiri et al** (see page 1, par. 3, 6, 7; page 5, par. 43-45; Figures 5-9).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jorge O. Peche whose telephone number is 571-270-1339. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:30 pm Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Khoi H. Tran can be reached on 571-272-6919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,938  
Art Unit: 3664

Page 13

/Jorge O Peche/

Examiner, Art Unit 3664

May 10, 2008

/Khoi H Tran/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3664